

---

# General Relativity For Tellytubbys

## The Tensor

Sir Kevin Aylward B.Sc., Warden of the Kings Ale

---

Back to the [Contents](#) section

### Vector Refresher

A vector is a tensor of rank one, what a tensor is will shortly become clearer, so bare with us for a bit please, but in short, a tensor may be thought of as a product of vectors with "transformation law" restrictions. There are many other descriptions, but we'll leave that for now.

A vector can be described as numbers or functions pointing in certain directions, i.e.

$$\mathbf{V} = a^1 \mathbf{e}_1 + a^2 \mathbf{e}_2 + a^3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \dots$$

the a's are the numbers or functions and are called the "components" of the "basis vectors" which are the  $\mathbf{e}$ 's in the above. Note the position of the indexes for the components and basis vectors. This form of a vector is called the contravariant form, why?, beats me.

The other form, below, is called the covariant form, for the same reason as above.

$$\mathbf{V} = a_1 \mathbf{e}^1 + a_2 \mathbf{e}^2 + a_3 \mathbf{e}^3 + \dots$$

By definition the  $\mathbf{e}^\alpha$  and  $\mathbf{e}_\beta$  are related by the condition  $\mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta = 1$  for  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $\mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta = 0$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$

These two forms of the same vector are called reciprocal to each other, and once again, always pay attention to what indexes are upstairs and downstairs, it will greatly simplify things if this is recognized at the outset as of deep significance.

### First Important Notes:

- 1) There is no limit, mathematically to the number of terms, in G.R. there are 4.
- 2) The basis vectors are *not* constant or unit vectors in general.

### Summation Convention

Instead of writing

$$\mathbf{V} = a^1 \mathbf{e}_1 + a^2 \mathbf{e}_2 + a^3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \dots$$

We could write

$$\mathbf{V} = \sum a^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha$$

But this is shortened to

$$\mathbf{V} = a^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha$$

That is, the sum sign is dropped, but it is understood that whenever an index appears twice in any product, then a summation is inherently implied. *Unless otherwise specified, all such products imply an equation with sums of values*

### The Metric

An element of arc length, by drawing a little diagram my little Tellytubbys, in the direction of the basis can be expressed as.

$$d\mathbf{x}^\alpha = \mathbf{e}_\alpha dx^\alpha, \text{ Not summed here.}$$

So that  $(ds)^2 = d\mathbf{r} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta dx^\alpha dx^\beta = \mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}^\beta dx_\alpha dx_\beta$ , Note that the implied summation *is* used here

or

$$(ds)^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta = g^{\alpha\beta} dx_\alpha dx_\beta$$

Where the definition is now made for the metric tensor components, i.e.

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{e}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta \text{ and } g^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}^\beta$$

Thus we have our first real 2<sup>nd</sup> order tensor, the metric tensor. Not to be confused with the inches tensor, and as a side note, its symmetric to boot.

### Conversion between Covariant and Contravariant Components

Recalling from the above, somewhere, the definition of reciprocal vectors

$$\mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta = 1 \text{ for } \alpha = \beta \text{ and } \mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta$$

Which, is conveniently expressed as

$\mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta = g^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta$ , and noting what is clearly an obvious definition for the new delta symbol introduced here.

So, given that a vector can have components expressed in contravariant form, the components in covariant form can now be obtained:

$$\mathbf{V} = V^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha = V_\alpha \mathbf{e}^\alpha$$

$$V^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}^\beta = V_\alpha \mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}^\beta$$

$$V^\beta = g^{\alpha\beta} V_\alpha, \text{ and note how the } \mathbf{e}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}^\beta \text{ swops/picks out the } \alpha \text{ to } \beta \text{ in the } V^\alpha$$

And obviously

$$V_\beta = g_{\alpha\beta} V^\alpha$$

So one can raise and lower indexes, by multiplying by the appropriate metric tensor.

And just to make sure we know what the above means, it means a system of equations thus

$V_\beta = g_{\alpha\beta} V^\alpha$ , in expanded form, means

$$V_1 = g_{11} V^1 + g_{21} V^2 + g_{31} V^3$$

$$V_2 = g_{12} V^1 + g_{22} V^2 + g_{32} V^3$$

$$V_3 = g_{13} V^1 + g_{23} V^2 + g_{33} V^3$$

## **Tensor Sums**

Tensor expressions usually result in sums of products of terms, such as

$$V^\beta = F^\alpha A_\alpha^{\beta\mu} C_\mu + J^\beta H_\alpha K^\alpha$$

because *all* the summing indexes take on *all* values you can swap between indexes that are repeated in a single product. e.g. the above can be written also as:

$$V^\beta = F^\mu A_\mu^{\beta\alpha} C_\alpha + J^\beta H_\alpha K^\alpha$$

$$\alpha \rightarrow \mu, \mu \rightarrow \alpha$$

without changing anything. Write it out to check for yourself.

Notes:

The second term indexes of the equation above do not need to be changed, although if desired feel free to do so.

You cannot swap indexes that are not being summed, unless you swap them everywhere.

A "units" check must make like match like, i.e. repeated indexes in a product reduces the order of the tensor by two. Both sides of the equation must match.

## **Tensor Transformation Law**

The job here is to find out how to calculate components in one coordinate system when one knows the components in another coordinate system.

Consider an example 3 variable position vector

$$\mathbf{R} = x^1(u_1, u_2, u_3) \mathbf{e}_1(u_1, u_2, u_3) + x^2(u_1, u_2, u_3) \mathbf{e}_2(u_1, u_2, u_3) + x^3(u_1, u_2, u_3) \mathbf{e}_3(u_1, u_2, u_3)$$

Since the x's are independent by construction, the covariant basis vectors can be seen to be given by

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial x^\alpha}, \text{ these vectors are } \textit{tangent} \text{ to the coordinate lines.}$$

But in poshtalk  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}$  is often used as the definition of the basis vector  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha$

Now consider the same vector represented in two different coordinate systems by

$$\bar{x}^\alpha = \bar{x}^\alpha(x^\beta)$$

then,

$$\bar{\nabla}^\alpha \bar{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha = \mathbf{V}^\beta \mathbf{e}_\beta \text{ and where } \bar{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha}$$

But we also have

$$\mathbf{e}_\beta = \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial x^\beta} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \bar{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha, \text{ by use of the chain rule for partial derivatives}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^\alpha \bar{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \mathbf{V}^\beta \bar{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha$$

hence,

$$\bar{\nabla}^\alpha = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \mathbf{V}^\beta$$

Is the transformation law from one coordinate system to another coordinate system.

And with a bit of pissing around you can find out for yourself, for example

$$\bar{\nabla}^{\alpha\lambda} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\lambda}{\partial x^\beta} \mathbf{V}^\beta$$

Is the transformation law for a 2<sup>nd</sup> order tensor

And no surprise here, covariant tensor (vector) transforms as

$$\bar{\mathbf{V}}_\alpha = \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha} \mathbf{V}_\beta$$

Hence, it is now clear why the upstairs and downstairs indexes are where they are.

Rounding off this section, consider the vectors *normal* to the surfaces defined by

$$x^\alpha(u^\beta) = C_\alpha$$

These are given by,

$\mathbf{e}^\alpha = \nabla x^\alpha$  where, of course, we are going to show that  $\nabla x^\alpha$  does indeed define the covariant basis vectors

Why are they not queer vectors then? Well consider

$$\nabla \Phi = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \mathbf{e}^\alpha$$

Then

$$\nabla \Phi \cdot \mathbf{dr} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{dr} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot dx_\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^\alpha} dx_\alpha \mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\alpha = d\Phi \text{ by the chain rule for } \Phi$$

but since  $d\Phi = 0$ , then  $\nabla\Phi$  is orthogonal i.e. normal to  $d\mathbf{r}$

and considering

$$\nabla x^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \mathbf{e}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{e}_\beta = \delta_\beta^\alpha \cdot g^\alpha_\beta = \delta_\beta^\alpha \text{ as was required to be proved}$$

Note:  $\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} = \delta_\beta^\alpha$  as  $x^\alpha$  and  $x^\beta$  are independent

So, its down with a pint of Guinness to let this all sink in

---

© Kevin Aylward 2000 - 2015

All rights reserved

The information on the page may be reproduced  
providing that this source is acknowledged.

Website last modified 31<sup>st</sup> May 2013

<http://www.kevinaylward.co.uk/gr/index.html>

[www.kevinaylward.co.uk](http://www.kevinaylward.co.uk)

---